International Journal of Healthcare Sciences ISSN 2348-5728 (Online)
Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp: (84-89), Month: October 2017 - March 2018, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

Complications Following Surgery of Pancreatic Fistula, and Management, Review

[1]Abbas Ali Alsaeed, [2]Allbdi Yousef Wasel, [3]Emad A N Hadal,
[4]Alrasasi, Maitham Nasser, [5]Ridha Hassan Al Ghnnam,
[6]Mezzher Mohammad Alsaeed, [7]Aqeel Salman Al Alkhazal, [8]Hibah Abdulali Alzayer,
[9]Ahmad Naif Alharbi, [10]Ali Abdulghalib Alhayek, [11]Abdulaziz Ahmad Alzahrany

Abstract: **We presented this review to summarize the evidence on complications following pancreatic surgical resections, and intervention in case of pancreatic fistula, and more different complications with the proper management approaches toward these complications. A comprehensive systematic search of the literature was performed using PubMed (Medline), Embase. A search strategy was designed to identify all clinical studies proposing a data on Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) surgical fistula complications and management approaches published in English and up to September, 2017. Postoperative hemorrhage is one of the most been afraid difficulties complying with major pancreatic resection. Just like fistula, a universal reporting system has been suggested by the ISGPS. This complication is often lethal, and also can offer any time in the postoperative duration. Patients who experience hemorrhage typically have underlying problems such as pancreatic fistula that call for therapy. Preventative methods to lower the price of PF consist of anastomotic and also technical alterations, specifically the employment of duct-to-mucosa anastomosis. Use of prophylactic octreotide is found to be useful in select team of patients, like those at high threat for developing PF. Successful management of this major problem depends on early detection, which requires a high index of professional uncertainty.**

Keywords: **Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD), surgery of pancreatic fistula.**

1. INTRODUCTION

Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) is among the typical therapies for numerous benign and also deadly disease of the pancreatic head and periampullary area and distal pancreatectomy for sores in the tail of pancreatic. Just recently the operative death after PD has actually significantly decreased to 3 to 5%, while the incidence of postoperative morbidity remains high varying from 30% to 65% [1,2,3,4]. The single most significant root cause of morbidity and also death after PD is the growth of pancreatic leak and also fistula. The leakage rate inning accordance with recent reports differs from 0% to 25% relying on the definition made use of [5,6]. Stomach abscess and haemorrhage prevail sequelae of pancreatic anastomotic leakage which have frequently been related to a mortality price of 40% or even more [1,2,7,8].

Pancreatic fistula (PF) are the hallmark of problems adhering to PD, and also various write-ups have actually resolved this problem, especially their prevention. Due to the fact that there was no attempt until recently to get to a consensus concerning just what constitutes a pancreatic fistula, numerous meanings abound, and thus it has been difficult to analyze properly truth professional effect of this trouble. To compound the lack of a regular meaning, there is a paucity of info regarding the very best management approaches for this issue [9,10,11]. While it is clear that a percentage of pancreatic fistulas have no, or very little, professional influence, many still cause significant morbidity and also mortality [12,13].

In spite of the relatively low pancreatic fistula rate of 12.9%, studies revealed that morbidity connected with this event is significantly high (as well as greater than we expected [2.11]. Prompt acknowledgment and correct management of PF when it does take place are vital. While several supporter conservative management of PF, some specialists still favor aggressive medical treatment [2,6,8,12,13].

We presented this review to summarize the evidence on complications following pancreatic surgical resections, and intervention in case of pancreatic fistula, and more different complications with the proper management approaches toward these complications.

2. METHODOLOGY

A comprehensive systematic search of the literature was performed using PubMed (Medline), Embase. A search strategy was designed to identify all clinical studies proposing a data on Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) surgical fistula complications and management approaches published in English and up to September, 2017. The following MeSH terms were used in our search strategy: Pancreatic resection, pancreatoduodenectomy, pancreatectomy, pancreatic fistula, pancreatic leakage, Post-Pancreaticoduodenectomy hemorrhage. The references from the included studies were searched to identify additional studies.

3. DISCUSSION

- **Post-pancreatectomy Hemorrhage:**

Post-pancreatectomy hemorrhage (PPH) is seen rarely after pancreatic surgery but continues to be a serious problem with high death. Lately, the International Study Group of Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS) has actually provided a new meaning for PPH. PPH associated substantially with incidence of postoperative pancreatic fistula and also other problems such as postponed gastric emptying and also wound infection. It was wrapped up that the data reveal that the new definition associates extremely well with morbidity, death and length of keep. The interpretation as a result seems ideal for medical and scientific application [14]. Regardless of a reported prevalence of 5% to 12%, PPH continues to be a restorative and also diagnostic black box, standardized rules regarding its management do not exist. Because of the variety of bleeding types, PPH-associated end result and mortality are unknown [15,16,17,18]. Significant distinctions consist of the onset and also intensity of PPH, underlying diseases, kind of index operations, concomitant pancreatic fistula, intraluminal or extra luminal symptom of blood loss, existence or lack of a "sentinel" hemorrhage, as well as vascular irregularities, ie, devastating arterial erosions and pseudoaneurysms.

The distinction of "early" as well as "late" PPH has an essential, if not even crucial effect on therapeutical management. Despite its intraluminal or extraluminal symptom, early PPH remains in a lot of series reported to have much better diagnosis than does ominous late PPH [15,18]. Based on institutional experiences, Choi et al. [19] as well as Tien et al. [20] recommended establishing the cutoff for differentiating late as well as early PPH at the 5th as well as 7th postoperative day, specifically.

The core distinction in between early and delayed PPH after the 5th postoperative day was the high coincidence of postponed PPH with preceding pancreatic fistula. This finding is consistent with the surgical literary works reporting significantly elevated danger of delayed PPH in patients with pancreatic fistulaas well as a close to 100% prevalence of preliminary pancreatic fistula in patients who exhibit delayed arterial bleeding [18,19] Some medical collection suggest a sequel of events at the start which pancreatic fistula causes disintegrations, pseudoaneurysms, and also other vascular abnormalities, which at some point result in devastating bleeding [16,19,20].

- **Pancreatic leakage (fistula) as post-surgical complications, and management:**

Pancreatic leak or as known as fistula stays one of the most expensive and serious issues following pancreatic resection [11]. Pancreatic leak is the sentinel occasion leading to many of the difficulties that take place following pancreatic resection. The evidence-based approach to the management of pancreatic leak as well as the sequelae of this event begins with an understanding of just how issues are specified and also rated. Substantial progress has actually been made in the reporting of difficulties throughout both possible and also retrospective research studies of pancreatectomy. The International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPF) was the very first group convened to develop a unifying standards as well as interpretation system for pancreatic fistula [7,14]. Considering that this time around, the International Study Group of Pancreatic Surgery (ISGPS) as well as various other agreement teams have established meanings and also grading for delayed gastric draining (DGE) [14], hemorrhage [15,17], and biliary leak [4] adhering to pancreatectomy. It is vital for effective management of patients following pancreatectomy that a system be in location for perioperative care. In addition to mindful patient selection as well as operative techniques, recognition as well as treatment of postoperative difficulties are likely adding elements to improved results seen in high volume facilities [20].

The pancreaticoenteric anastomosis is the Achilles heel of PD as well as its modifications. Drainage of the pancreatic remnant to the intestinal system continues to be a critical action, however it risks of anastomotic malfunction. The majority of leakages may run a benign training course, calling for just maintenance of intraoperatively placed drains pipes [21]. However, if it results in retroperitoneal blood poisoning with abscess development and/or destruction of the surrounding tissues and also capillary with the capacity for serious hemorrhage, it is the major root cause of postoperative death [22].The reported incidence of pancreatic leakages varies commonly. This could possibly be discussed by different meanings and also coverage of pancreatic leakage, distinctions in the underlying disease, and various medical strategies. The Heidelberg and Johns Hopkins units made use of a comparable meaning, namely drainage of 450 ml of amylase-rich fluid each day from intra-abdominal drains, on or after the tenth postoperative day. Nonetheless, a lot of these leakages are clinically irrelevant [21]. Moreover, using personnel website drains has actually lately been brought into question. A research study by Conlon et al. [23]. failed to show a substantial decrease in surgical morbidity with peritoneal drainage. Rather, a considerably increased proportion of patients in the drain team established intraperitoneal blood poisoning, liquid collection or fistula. Here in Heidelberg, we have actually altered our method towards the earlier elimination of drains, by the 3rd or second postoperative day. Due to these findings, with the decreasing use peritoneal drains or their earlier removal, there is maybe a should globally adopt a definition that emphasizes the medical relevance instead of one simply based upon quantity of water drainage liquid output or its amylase content in itself. Moreover, peritoneal drainpipe results can not differentiate a true pancreaticoenteric anastomosis breakdown from extravasation of pancreatic secretions from the pancreatic stump, which is usually medically inconsequential [24]. A medical leak occurs when the drainage of amylase-rich drainage liquid is related to fever, leukocytosis, sepsis or the demand for percutaneous drainage of an amylase-rich fluid collection [20]. or verification of pancreatic anastomosis failure through fistulogram [2]. Information from level 1 studies have actually shown a pancreatic leak rate following PD and its adjustments to be from 0% to 13% [18]. The linked mortality of pancreatic leakages has noticeably decreased over the past two decades, now ranging between 0% and 5% [18]. This exceptional feat, when as compared to formerly reported rates of 40% [21], probably mirrors the improvement in diagnostics as well as perioperative management that permits the very early and hostile management of this difficulty [14]. In the approach to pancreatic leakages, avoidance is definitely much better than remedy. Specific risk elements for failure of the pancreatic anastomosis are a soft parenchymal structure of the pancreatic residue, the duct dimension, the size of the remnant gland, the degree of pancreatic exocrine function and the anastomotic technique [22]. A distinctive organization was discovered in between the dimension as well as the degree of fibrosis of the remnant gland, as well as the incident of problems [8,19].

The basic consensus is for conventional management in the absence of peritonitis, body organ, sepsis or hemorrhage failing [4,23]. This would contain effective control of the leak with some kind of exterior drainage, intravenous prescription antibiotics, sufficient nutritional assistance and also close surveillance [21]. Abdominal computed tomography (CT) scans are mandatory to exclude intra-abdominal liquid collections or abscess. The value of octreotide in the therapy of recognized pancreatic fistula is not clear, with studies showing contradictory results [25,26]. The majority of instances (70% - 90%) with low-output fistula can be effectively taken care of in this fashion. On the other hand, very early intervention is suggested if there is a significant problem that could not be handled by various other means, such as hemorrhage or an irrepressible fistula [21,25]. The level of devastation as well as inflammation in the retroperitoneum will likely establish the medical method as well as prognosticate its success. Other procedures short of conclusion pancreatectomy include comprehensive peripancreatic drain with or without continual irrigation, or occlusion of the pancreatic duct [21]. Such 'lower' treatments are commonly inadequate [25,26].

- **Pancreatic Fistula and role of surgical technique following PD:**

Pancreatic fistula has been just recently defined by the International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPF), and also this research study has served as the foundation for defining and also reporting this difficulty throughout numerous retrospective as well as possible studies [1]. The study hall of globally recognized pancreatic cosmetic surgeons working in high volume centers intended to create a simplified and universal meaning of pancreatic fistula with a grading system based on professional impact (much like CTCAE stated over). The ISGPF interpretation of pancreatic fistula is received Table III. The group defined fistula as" drainpipe result of any type of quantifiable volume of fluid on or after postoperative day 3 with amylase web content above 3 times that of normal product amylase." The three grades based on scientific impact include quality A (no impact) and grade C (considerable impact). This interpretation has been prospectively confirmed and many organizations have actually implemented this system for reporting the prices and end

results of pancreatic fistula in retrospective research studies [14,24]. This interpretation has actually also been used for possible randomized trials focusing on lowering pancreatic leak [23,24].

The medical management of the pancreatic stump following PD shows just how science as well as art can be used together. Numerous surgical methods to take care of the pancreatic stump have actually been explained with the purpose of attaining low pancreatic leak rates. With regard to the pancreaticoenteric repair, imaginative strategies like end-to-side PJ, end-to-end (invaginating/telescoping) PJ, pancreaticogastrostomy (PG) have been utilized. In a current report, making use of an innovative strategy called 'binding PJ', the writers have reported excellent results in 150 consecutive patients [2]. Efforts have actually likewise targeted the pancreatic duct, and include ductal occlusion or water drainage. Securing of the pancreaticoenteric anastomosis using fibrin adhesive has additionally been suggested. When it come to the performance of pancreatic transection, a team from Japan try out ultrasonically activated shears (UAS) [24]. They discovered that UAS gotten rid of blood loss and pancreatic juice leakage from the branches of the PD, therefore keeping the cut surface completely dry, which as a result promoted the anastomosis. With such a myriad of methods as well as technologies to choose from, one should consider the evidence behind each of these. Private investigators at the Johns Hopkins Hospital prospectively contrasted PJ as well as PG [15]. The occurrence of pancreatic anastomotic leak was 11% for PJ and also 12% for PG repairs. Another group compared end-to-end (invaginating/telescoping) anastomosis to the end-to-side (duct-to-mucosa) anastomosis in a prospective, randomized test [20]. The end-to-end invaginating strategy was related to greater pancreatic leak prices. Increasingly, more reports on the security of the duct-to-mucosa end-to-side PJ have been released since [4,26,]. Addition of a momentary outside stent to the pancreatic duct has actually been assumed to further minimize the leak price, and also certainly this has been displayed in a prospective nonrandomized research where the fistula price was minimized from 29% to 7% [10]. Other teams, nevertheless, have actually not observed similar benefits [23]. In contrast, ductal occlusion was revealed certainly to have greater fistula rates, in addition to boosting the risk of pancreatic exocrine and endocrine insufficiency [73]. The role of fibrin adhesive, whether for momentary ductal occlusion or securing of the pancreaticoenteric anastomosis, has been revealed to be inefficient in protecting against intra-abdominal difficulties by 3 controlled trials [25,26].

These effects of pancreatic fistulas adhering to pancreaticoduodenectomy have actually been well defined in other research studies [27,28,29] Additionally, they located increased death, which has not been consistently discovered to be affected by pancreatic fistulas. Some researchers have actually revealed no distinction whatsoever, while others have actually explained considerable boosts [7,15,17]. In today research, 9.3% of patients with a pancreatic fistula died, virtually an 8-fold rise relative to the patients without a fistula (1.2%). This extremely substantial as well as marked difference remains in part related to inclusion of patients that passed away beyond the standard 30-day or same-hospitalization mark (the mortality in the fistula team would certainly have only been 2.7% if they had used the 30-day interpretation, or 6.7% if we had actually made use of the 30-day or same-hospitalization definition). It is notable that the researches that have located no distinction in the death of patients with as well as without a pancreatic fistula have all utilized 30-day mortality for their comparisons [28,29].

4. CONCLUSION

Postoperative hemorrhage is one of the most been afraid difficulties complying with major pancreatic resection. Just like fistula, a universal reporting system has been suggested by the ISGPS. This complication is often lethal, and also can offer any time in the postoperative duration. Patients who experience hemorrhage typically have underlying problems such as pancreatic fistula that call for therapy. Preventative methods to lower the price of PF consist of anastomotic and also technical alterations, specifically the employment of duct-to-mucosa anastomosis. Use of prophylactic octreotide is found to be useful in select team of patients, like those at high threat for developing PF. Successful management of this major problem depends on early detection, which requires a high index of professional uncertainty.

REFERENCES

[1] C. M. Schmidt, E. S. Powell, C. T. Yiannoutsos et al., “Pancreaticoduodenectomy: a 20-year experience in 516 patients,” Archives of Surgery, vol. 139, no. 7, pp. 718–727, 2004.

[2] C. Bassi, M. Falconi, R. Salvia, G. Mascetta, E. Molinari, and P. P. Pederzoli, “Management of complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy in a high volume centre: results on 150 consecutive patients,” Digestive Surgery, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 453–457, 2001.

[3] M. P. Callery, W. B. Pratt, and C. M. Vollmer, "Prevention and management of pancreatic fistula," Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 163–173, 2009.

[4] Y. M. Yang, X. D. Tian, Y. Zhuang, W. M. Wang, Y. L. Wan, and Y. T. Huang, "Risk factors of pancreatic leakage after pancreaticoduodenectomy," World Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 11, no. 16, pp. 2456–2461, 2005.

[5] E. C. H. Lai, S. H. Y. Lau, and W. Y. Lau, "Measures to prevent pancreatic fistula after pancreatoduodenectomy: a comprehensive review," Archives of Surgery, vol. 144, no. 11, pp. 1074–1080, 2009.

[6] J. L. Cameron, T. S. Riall, J. Coleman, and K. A. Belcher, "One thousand consecutive pancreaticoduodenectomies," Annals of Surgery, vol. 244, no. 1, pp. 10–15, 2006.

[7] C. Bassi, C. Dervenis, G. Butturini et al., "International study group on pancreatic fistula definition. Post-operative pancreatic fistula: an international study group (ISGPF) definition," Surgery, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 8–13, 2005.

[8] N. Pecorelli, G. Balzano, G. Capretti, A. Zerbi, V. Di Carlo, and M. Braga, "Effect of surgeon volume on outcome following pancreaticoduodenectomy in a high-volume hospital," Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 518–523, 2011.

[9] Bassi C, Dervenis C, Butturini G, et al. Post-operative pancreatic fistula: an international study group (ISGPF) definition. Surgery. 2005;138(1):8–13.

[10] Kazanjian KK, Hines OJ, Eibl G, Reber HA. Management of pancreatic fistulas after pancreaticoduodenectomy: results in 437 consecutive patients. Arch Surg. 2005;140(9):849–855.

[11] Aranha GV, Aaron JM, Shoup M, Pickleman J. Current management of pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy. Surgery. 2006;140(4):561–569.

[12] Muscari F, Suc B, Kirzin S, et al. French Associations for Surgical Research. Risk factors for mortality and intra-abdominal complications after pancreaticoduodenectomy: multivariate analysis in 300 patients. Surgery. 2006;139(5):591–598.

[13] Munoz-Bongrand N, Sauvanet A, Denys A, Sibert A, Vilgrain V, Belghiti J. Conservative management of pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy with pancreaticogastrostomy. J Am Coll Surg. 2004;199(2):198–203.

[14] Grützmann R, Rückert F, Hippe-Davies N, Distler M, Saeger HD. Evaluation of the international study group of pancreatic surgery (ISGPS) definition of postpancreatectomy haemorrhage (PPH) in a high-volume centre. American Pancreas Club, 45th Annual Meeting. 2011 May 6-7;

[15] Balladur P, Christophe M, Tiret E, et al. Bleeding of the pancreatic stump following pancreatoduodenectomy for cancer. *Hepatogastroenterology*. 1996;43:268–270.

[16] Halloran CM, Ghaneh P, Bosonnet L, et al. Complications of pancreatic cancer resection. *Dig Surg*. 2002;19:138–146.

[17] Shankar S, Russell RC. Haemorrhage in pancreatic disease. *Br J Surg*. 1989;76:863–866.

[18] Rumstadt B, Schwab M, Korth P, et al. Hemorrhage after pancreatoduodenectomy. *Ann Surg*. 1998;227:236–241.

[19] Choi SH, Moon HJ, Heo JS, et al. Delayed hemorrhage after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2004;199:186–191.

[20] Tien YW, Lee PH, Yang CY, et al. Risk factors of massive bleeding related to pancreatic leak after pancreaticoduodenectomy. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2005;201:554–559.

[21] Cullen JJ, Sarr MG, Ilstrup D. Pancreatic anastomotic leak after pancreaticoduodenectomy: incidence, significance and management. Am J Surg 1994;168:295–8.

[22] Berberat PO, Friess H, Kleeff J, Uhl W, Bu¨chler MW. Prevention and treatment of complications in pancreatic cancer surgery. Dig Surg 1999;16:327–36.

[23] Conlon KC, Labow D, Leung D, Smith A, Jamagin W, Coit DG, et al. Prospective randomized clinical trial of the value of intraperitoneal drainage after pancreatic resection. Ann Surg 2001;234:487–94.

[24] Suzuki Y, Fujino Y, Tanioka Y, Hiraoka K, Takada M, Ajiki T, Takeyama Y, Ku Y, Kuroda Y. Selection of pancreaticojejunostomy techniques according to pancreatic texture and duct size. Arch Surg 2002;137:1044–7.

[25] Bassi C, Falconi M, Salvia R, Caldiron E, Butturini G, Pederzoli P. Role of octreotide in the treatment of external pancreatic pure fistula: a single-institution prospective experience. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2000;385:10–13.

[26] Alvarez C, McFadden DW, Reber HA. Complicated enterocutaneous fistula: failure of octreotide to improve healing. World J Surg 2000;24:533–7.

[27] Pratt WB, Maithel SK, Vanounou T, Huang ZS, Callery MP, Vollmer CM. Clinical and economic validation of the International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPF) classification scheme. Ann Surg. 2007;245(3):443–451.

[28] Lin JW, Cameron JL, Yeo CJ, Riall TS, Lillemoe KD. Risk factors and outcomes in postpancreaticoduodenectomy pancreaticocutaneous fistula. J Gastrointest Surg. 2004;8(8):951–959.

[29] Winter JM, Cameron JL, Campbell KA, et al. Does pancreatic duct stenting decrease the rate of pancreatic fistula following pancreaticoduodenectomy? results of a prospective randomized trial. J Gastrointest Surg. 2006;10(9):1280–1290.